1 Deformed Wing Virus spillover from honey bees to bumble bees: a reverse genetic study.

2	Running title: Deformed Wing Virus spillover in bumble bees.
3	Olesya N Gusachenko ¹ , Luke Woodford ¹ , Katharin Balbirnie-Cumming ² ,
4	Eugene V Ryabov ³ , David J Evans ¹
5	¹ Evans laboratory, Biomedical Sciences Research Complex, University of St. Andrews, North
6	Haugh, St. Andrews, UK; ² Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen`s Medical Research
7	Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ³ USDA-ARS Bee Research Laboratory,
8	Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA.

9 Abstract

10 Deformed wing virus (DWV) is a persistent pathogen of European honey bees and the major 11 contributor to overwintering colony losses. The prevalence of DWV in honey bees has led to 12 significant concerns about spillover of the virus to other pollinating species. Bumble bees are 13 both a major group of wild and commercially-reared pollinators. Several studies have reported 14 pathogen spillover of DWV from honey bees to bumble bees, but evidence of a true sustained 15 viral infection has yet to be demonstrated. Here we investigate the infectivity and transmission 16 of DWV in bumble bees using the buff-tailed bumble bee Bombus terrestris as a model. We 17 apply a reverse genetics approach combined with controlled laboratory conditions to detect and 18 monitor DWV infection. A novel reverse genetics system for three representative DWV variants, 19 including the two master variants of DWV - type A and B - was used. Our results directly confirm 20 DWV replication in bumble bees but also demonstrate striking resistance to infection by certain 21 routes. Bumble bees may support DWV replication but it is not clear how infection could occur 22 under natural environmental conditions.

23 Introduction

24 Deformed wing virus (DWV) is a widely established pathogen of the European honey bee. Apis 25 mellifera. In synergistic action with its vector — the parasitic mite Varroa destructor — it has had 26 a devastating impact on the health of honey bee colonies globally. As the primary managed 27 insect pollinator, honey bees are of high ecological and economic value and contribute an 28 estimated 30-50% of mobile pollination activity [1, 2]. Two-thirds of all colonies in the USA (~1.6 29 million hives) are transported to California in February/March for almond pollination [3]. 30 Inevitably, transporting bees also transports their pathogens. This, coupled with the local 31 pathogen density associated with ~50 000 bees in a single hive, has raised concerns about 32 pathogen spillover from managed honey bees to other pollinators [4]. DWV was found as a 33 frequent component of pollen pellets [5] and is also present in bee faeces [6], suggesting honey 34 bee foragers and colonies could facilitate horizontal virus transmission to the wider pollinator 35 community. Significantly, DWV RNA has been detected in many insects sharing the 36 environment with managed honey bees, including Asian bee species and wild bees, 37 cockroaches, ants, wasps, and bumble bees [4, 5, 7–19]. Due to their extended activity at lower 38 temperatures (compared to honey bees) bumble bees are considered particularly important 39 pollinators in temperate and subarctic climates [20, 21] and are the only other insect managed 40 for commercial-scale pollination [21]. As a consequence, the potentially negative impact of 41 extensive honey bee management and failing pathogen control on co-located Bombus species 42 has received significant attention.

Following a report that DWV was detected in symptomatic *Bombus terrestris* and *Bombus pascuorum* individuals with deformed wings [7] there have been several studies of DWV prevalence in a wide range of *Bombus* species [4, 5, 11, 12, 22–24]. In *Varroa*-infested honey bee colonies DWV levels can exceed 10¹¹ genome copies per bee [25], with considerable potential for environmental contamination. DWV-positive *Bombus sp.* have been shown to correlate to areas with high DWV prevalence in *Apis* [4, 23, 24]. The majority of screening

49 studies have used RT-PCR for DWV detecting both positive and negative strand DWV RNA in 50 environmental bumble bee samples (reviewed in [26]). However, the near ubiquitous presence 51 of managed hives, the honey bee – and consequently pathogen – density around hives, and the 52 foraging range of Apis mean that DWV is likely widespread [5]. Although detailed analysis of 53 DWV replication requires detection of the negative strand intermediate of replication it should be 54 noted that this alone is not formal proof that the virus is replicating. There remains the possibility 55 that negative strands are present as carry-over in Apis-derived cellular material ingested by 56 bumble bees.

57 The name DWV is currently attributed to an evolving complex of closely related viruses, which 58 includes DWV-A [27], Kakugo virus [28], Varroa destructor virus-1 (VDV-1; also referred to as 59 DWV-B [29-31]) and a range of recombinants between DWV-A and -B [32-35]. All viruses 60 exhibit at least 84% identity at the nucleotide level and 95% identity at the protein level [32, 35, 61 36]. The sensitivity of current diagnostic methods means DWV detection in environmental 62 samples is regularly reported, with different DWV variants identified in Bombus [4]. Far less 63 frequent are studies investigating potential routes of transmission from Apis to Bombus, or the 64 subsequent replication of DWV in bumble bees. Due to the absence of a suitable cell line for in 65 vitro propagation, laboratory-based assays have been limited to the application of field-sourced 66 virus. Infectivity of DWV obtained from field honey bee samples was tested via inoculations of 67 adult Bombus terrestris [4, 22]. It was reported that a DWV complex containing both DWV-A and -B is infectious when fed at high concentrations - 10⁹ GE of virus per bee [4]. 68

We have used a reverse genetic (RG) approach to generate near-clonal populations of genetically tagged DWV-A, -B and a B/A hybrid after transfection of honey bees with *in vitro* transcribed RNA. A similar system has recently been reported for DWV-A [37–39]. Using RGderived DWV inocula we address the question of DWV pathogenesis and likely transmission routes in *Bombus terrestris* at both the individual and colony level. Using this strategy we

74 provide direct evidence of DWV replication in this pollinator via virus feeding and injection.
75 Importantly, adult *Bombus terrestris* appear resistant to productive infection by DWV orally and
76 do not exhibit the developmental defects characteristic of DWV infection and replication in
77 honey bees.

78 Results

79 Infectivity of DWV RNA and virus in injected honey bee and bumble bee pupae

80 In order to test infectivity of DWV variants in controlled laboratory experiments we have 81 developed RG systems for DWV-A and -B master variants. DWV cDNAs were built using gene 82 synthesis based upon published sequences [27, 40, 41] and that of a recombinant DWV 83 reported earlier [25]. The RG constructs design is similar to a recently reported system for 84 DWV-A [37] with a full-length DWV cDNA placed under transcriptional control of a T7 promoter. 85 RNA generated *in vitro* is directly injected into honey bee pupae from which infectious virus is 86 recovered. To allow discrimination from any endogenous DWV we engineered synonymous 87 mutations creating new restriction sites, allowing the unambiguous identification of the RG 88 genomes. The following nomenclature was used for the generated viruses: "VDD" - type A 89 DWV, "VVV" - type B, "VVD" - B/A recombinant (Figure 1A).

90 In temperate climates honey bee brood is only available for ~50% of the year and in vivo 91 research is of necessity seasonal. Although the DWV IRES retains partial activity in at least one 92 cell line of non-honey bee origin (Lymanthria dispar LD652Y cells) [42], attempted infection of 93 those cells with DWV or transfection of in vitro-generated DWV RNA did not result in virus 94 replication (OG, unpublished data). This impediment to DWV studies prompted us to investigate the recovery of clonal stocks of DWV in bumble bees (Bombus terrestris audax) injected with 95 96 viral RNA. Commercially farmed bumble bee colonies are available year-round and, in our 97 preliminary studies, are free of DWV RNA (data not shown). Four of six RNA-injected bumble 98 bee pupae tested positive for DWV, while all honey bee pupae injected with full-length RNA

99 were shown to be DWV-positive (Figure 1B, C). The RG origin of DWV in injected samples was 100 confirmed by restriction digest of PCR products using endonucleases specific for the introduced 101 genetic tag (Figure 1B,C - restriction digest). The remaining tissue from RNA-injected samples 102 was used to prepare crude DWV stocks. RT-qPCR analysis showed that the Apis-derived stocks contained between 10⁷-10⁹ genome equivalents (GE) of DWV/µI, while *Bombus*-derived 103 104 inoculum contained 3.75×10⁵ GE/µl. For comparison, DWV levels in mock-injected Apis pupae 105 did not exceed 10^5 GE DWV/µg of RNA (representing the endogenous viral load) and Bombus 106 pupae had no detectable DWV sequences in mock-inoculated samples.

107 DWV extracted from *Bombus* was infectious when re-inoculated by injection to *Bombus* pupae. 108 We observed no differences in the infectivity of *Apis-* or *Bombus-*derived DWV (Figure S1) and, 109 at the genome level, no obvious signs of adaptation following comparison of the parental cDNA 100 sequence with NGS data from the second passage of *Bombus-*derived DWV (Figure S2).

In honey bees, both DWV-A and -B are pathogenic when inoculated, though recent studies have produced contradictory results when comparing their relative virulence [29, 41, 43]. We therefore tested infectivity of DWV types A, B and a B/A hybrid in bumble bee brood and adults. As our primary interest was to investigate the potential for DWV spillover from infected honey bees we used DWV inoculates prepared from RNA-injected honey bee pupae for all further experiments.

117 White-eyed (1 day post molting) or purple-eyed (5.5 days) bumble bee pupae were injected with 10³ or 10^6 GE of each DWV variant, and virus levels quantified 48 hours post-inoculation. In all 119 cases we observed a 10^2 - 10^4 increase in total DWV load compared to the inoculated amount, 120 providing clear evidence for replication (Figure 2A). More DWV accumulated in older pupae but 121 this was only statistically significant for 10^6 GE of the VVV variant (Tukey's multiple comparisons 122 test, P=0.03).

123 Bombus larvae can be infected with DWV per os

124 Since bumble bee pupae are not parasitised by Varroa naturally-infected pupae must acquire 125 the virus by prior exposure of larvae. We therefore investigated virus infection after feeding 126 DWV to 1st and 2nd instar Bombus larvae (age 1 and 2 on Figure 2B respectively). Each larva individually received a single dose of diet containing 10⁸ GE of DWV on the first day of the 127 experiment. DWV was detectable in all fed larvae on the 5th day post inoculation, although virus 128 129 levels were comparable to the amount administered in the diet. When analysed ~50% of fed 130 larvae had detectable levels of DWV negative strand RNA, absent from the inocula and 131 indicative of virus replication.

132 Investigation of colony scale transmission of DWV and adult infection per os

133 In honey bees, horizontal transmission of DWV occurs *per os* in larvae or adults, or when 134 *Varroa* feed on pupae and adults. Whilst we show here that DWV can replicate in *Bombus sp.* 135 pupae after direct injection, and in virus fed larvae, the route by which adult bumble bees could 136 become infected remains unclear. We reasoned that two likely routes would be via direct oral 137 exposure of adult *Bombus* to virus in the environment or indirectly following larval infection with 138 virus carried by adult bees.

Groups of adult bumble bees received 10⁷ or 10⁸ DWV GE per bee via feeding with virus-139 140 supplemented sucrose solution. All control group bees remained viable during the experiment. 141 and only one dead bee was found in each of the virus fed groups. Bees were analyzed 7 days 142 after DWV feeding when none of the virus-fed samples tested positive for DWV (Figure 3). According to qPCR analysis of DWV-fed bees no sample contained greater than 10⁵ GE DWV 143 144 per 1 µg of total RNA. Average RNA yield per bee did not exceed 50 µg and therefore the level 145 of virus detected was 100-200-fold lower than the amount received. Hence, despite evidence 146 that bumble bee larvae displayed susceptibility to DWV infection per os, feeding of adult bumble bees up to 10⁸ GE DWV per bee did not lead to infection or detectable replication. 147

We extended this study to investigate whole-nest inoculation with DWV. Three individual bumble bee nests were fed for 4-6 weeks with a sucrose solution supplemented with 2x10⁸ GE/adult bee/day of VVD, VVV or VDD DWV. All brood (pooled egg samples, 30 larvae, 47 pupae) and 30 adults from virus-exposed nests were screened for DWV using end-point RT-PCR assay and showed no positive results (data not shown).

153 Direct inoculation of adult bumble bees

154 With no evidence that adult bumble bees could be infected when fed a DWV-supplemented diet, 155 or that they were able to transfer virus to larvae, we performed direct virus injections of adult 156 bumble bees to determine whether adults could support DWV replication. Two groups of adult bumble bees were intra-abdominally injected with 10⁴ or 10⁸ DWV GE per bee. Envisaging a 157 158 possible impact of injection on viability, an additional group of bumble bees was injected with 159 PBS solution only. 20-30% of injected bees died before termination of the experiment in each 160 group. The remaining bumble bees were screened for DWV RNA 8 days post-inoculation. Endpoint PCR analysis indicated that 40% and 100% were DWV positive in the 10⁴-injected and 161 162 10⁸-injected groups respectively (Figure 3A), with gPCR analysis showing that the virus levels in these groups ranged between 2.74×10⁴-1.39×10⁷ and 4.82×10⁶-2.13×10⁸ GE/µg of total RNA 163 164 respectively. Accumulation of DWV (-)RNA in DWV-positive samples was confirmed by strand-165 specific RT-PCR assay (Figure 3B). This demonstrates that DWV can replicate in adult Bombus *terrestris* after direct injection at 10⁸ GE per bee. We therefore proceeded to investigate if there 166 167 were any pathogenic consequences of virus infection and replication.

168 Pathogenicity of DWV in Bombus terrestris

DWV produces characteristic pathogenicity in honey bees and similar symptoms have been reported in bumble bees [7]. We injected 43 white-eyed bumble bee pupae with 10⁶ GE of DWV and maintained them through development in an incubator. In parallel, a group of 37 similarlyaged pupae were injected with PBS. All fully-developed bumble bees could be classified into 173 one of three groups: normal phenotype, discolored with normal wings and non-viables, which 174 did not eclose (Figure 4). Analysis by qPCR demonstrated that all DWV-inoculated bumble bees contained high levels of virus (8.8×10⁸-2.2×10¹⁰ GE/µg of RNA) with no significant differences in 175 176 viral load between the three phenotypic groups (ANOVA, P=0.21) (Figure 4). DWV levels were 177 1-2 log₁₀ greater than in pupae analysed 48 hours post-inoculation (Figure 2A) reflecting the 178 additional time the virus had to replicate. This further supports the conclusion that pupae can be 179 infected with DWV by direct inoculation. Strikingly, none of the eclosed bumble bees showed 180 any signs of wing deformities that are characteristic of DWV infection of honey bees. Inspection 181 of PBS-injected pupae showed that they could be separated into the same phenotypical groups; 182 normal, discoloured and dead. There was no statistical difference between the proportions in 183 each group of virus- or PBS-injected bumble bees (ANOVA, P>0.999). Upon analysis, none of 184 the mock inoculated group samples showed evidence of DWV infection. In parallel, control 185 injection of honey bee pupae with the same virus preparation caused characteristic wing 186 deformities in eclosed bees (Figure S4).

187 Discussion

The term "pathogen spillover" describes the transmission of a pathogen from a reservoir host to a different species in a shared environment [44]. To achieve this, several conditions must be fulfilled; the reservoir host must be sufficiently abundant to guarantee exposure, pathogen prevalence must be high enough to ensure direct or environmental transmission, and the recipient host must be susceptible to infection via direct or indirect transmission [45].

Managed honey bee colonies are near-ubiquitous in many environments, including both rural and urban locations. On agricultural crops that require commercial pollination very high colony densities are achieved through migratory beekeeping. The combination of colony movements following the introduction of *Varroa destructor* resulted in the near-global distribution of the ectoparasite, with the concomitant spread of a range of honey bee viruses that are detrimental

to colony survival [29]. Most important of these viruses is DWV which likely accounts for the
majority of overwintering colony losses [46, 47]. DWV causes overt and lethal developmental
defects after pupal inoculation and reduces the longevity of bees that do successfully emerge.
Colonies that collapse due to high *Varroa*/DWV levels may be robbed-out by other insects
including bumble bees, ants and wasps.

203 In addition to the cocktail of Varroa and DWV, honey bees are increasingly subject to stresses 204 through a combination of limited dietary variation, repeated transportation to new pollination 205 sites and exposure to agrochemicals, all of which are associated with increased susceptibility to 206 pathogens and potential colony failure [48]. As a consequence, honey bees readily fulfill two of 207 the requirements needed for pathogen spillover *i.e.* abundance in the environment and 208 pathogen prevalence. In addition, due to the extensive foraging range of honey bees and the 209 excretion of viable DWV in faeces [6], a high density of hives ensures widespread 210 environmental contamination.

Notwithstanding the likely exposure of other species to the pathogen-laden environment, spillover also requires susceptibility of the species exposed and pathogen infectivity via a relevant transmission route. We exploited commercially available colonies of *Bombus terrestris* to determine if and how they could support infection by DWV. The buff-tailed bumble bee is a relevant model system in which to explore pathogen spillover; it is naturally found in the same environment as honey bees [4], and there are reports of DWV infection of wild-caught *B*. *terrestris* and several related species [4, 5, 11, 12, 22–24].

We developed a RG system for the two prototype strains of DWV (type A and B) and a hybrid previously reported to predominate in *Varroa*-infested colonies [32]. The synthesized virus genomes contain silent restriction sites that unambiguously distinguish the three types and – since they are unique to the RG cDNAs – allow discrimination from endogenous DWV. Direct inoculation of honey bee pupae with *in vitro* generated RNA resulted in DWV replication (Figure

223 2). Virus purified from these pupae caused symptomatic infection when reinoculated into honey 224 bee pupae and accumulated to 10^{10} GE/µg of RNA (Figure S4), a level similar to that observed 225 in *Varroa*-exposed pupae [25].

226 Using a similar strategy we demonstrated that bumble bee pupae could be infected when 227 inoculated with in vitro generated DWV RNA. The resulting virus was purified, shown to be 228 infectious for naïve bumble bee pupae when re-injected and deep sequencing of the virus 229 population indicated no significant sequence changes from the originating cDNA (Figure S2). Infected pupae reached up to $\sim 10^9$ GE of DWV/ug of RNA two days post-injection (Figure 2A) 230 231 and accumulation of the negative strand DWV RNA was confirmed by strand-specific gPCR 232 (Figure S3). Therefore DWV is infectious for both honey bee and bumble bee pupae and 233 adaptive changes are unlikely to create a bottleneck in any potential transmission between the 234 species.

Direct recovery and amplification of DWV in bumble bees offers advantages to virologists and entomologists attempting to determine the significance of the limited differences between the reported strains. Since essentially all honey bee pupae are infected with DWV, perhaps with the exclusion of those from Australia [49], it is impossible to obtain truly clonal virus preparations. By recovering virus after RNA inoculation in bumble bees pure populations of DWV strains can be prepared for subsequent studies of virus pathogenesis and evolution.

Since *Varroa* does not parasitise bumble bee pupae it is difficult to rationalise direct injection as a potential transmission route. Therefore, considering robbing by bumble bees of collapsing honey bee colonies and the likely widespread DWV contamination in environments with large numbers of honey bees, we reasoned that oral transmission was a more likely route for virus acquisition. We therefore investigated oral susceptibility of larvae and adult bumble bees, fed directly or by extended exposure of bumble bee nests to virus-supplemented diet.

247 Direct feeding with 10⁸ GE of DWV enabled the detection of the negative strand of DWV, absent

from the input virus preparation and indicative of virus replication, in ~50% of larvae (Figure 2). In contrast, DWV fed adult bumble bees, or larvae and pupae harvested from nests supplemented with diet containing 10^8 GE of DWV per bee, failed to provide any evidence for virus acquisition and transmission *per os*. Therefore, whilst larvae exhibit some susceptibility to infection by orally acquired DWV, it is clear that the threshold for infection may be high and that it cannot be achieved by extended feeding by adult bees throughout larval development.

Although we found no evidence for oral infection of adult bumble bees with DWV, we were able to demonstrate the presence of DWV in adult *Bombus* after direct virus injections. Adult bumble bees are able to support replication of DWV though, as with pupal inoculation with RNA, there may be a threshold (exceeded at 10⁸ GE) needed to achieve 100% infection.

In this study we allowed pupae to complete development and scored them phenotypically upon eclosion. Bumble bee pupae are susceptible to handling and survival rates (~50%) were similar in virus- or mock-inoculated samples (Figure 4). Amongst the three phenotypically-distinct groups there was no difference in viral load in virus inoculated samples. Strikingly, the same three groups and the proportion of each were present in the mock-inoculated samples. No wing deformities were detected in eclosed bumble bees from either group.

264 It has previously been reported that DWV-positive field isolates of both *B. terrestris* and *B.* 265 pascuorum have been identified with wing deformities characteristic of those seen in DWV-266 infected honey bees [7]. However, these studies did not demonstrate DWV replication or 267 reproduce symptoms by DWV inoculation. In honey bees apart from DWV infection, wing 268 malformation can be caused during development by injury, hormonal disorders, intoxication or 269 absence of cocoon [37]. While laboratory inoculations represent the gold standard for virus 270 infectivity assays this approach probably does not recapitulate an environmentally meaningful 271 infection route. Compared to their independent effect a combination of stressors is suggested to 272 introduce a greater threat to wild pollinators in their native environment [50]. For example,

exposure to clothianidin - a neonicotinoid insecticide - was found to have a negative impact on
honey bee immune status and promote DWV infection [51]. In *Bombus terrestris* condition
mediated virulence of Slow bee paralysis virus upon starvation has been demonstrated [52].

276 This study uses a reverse genetic approach to investigate pathogen spillover from honey bees 277 to bumble bees. Whilst clear evidence is obtained to confirm DWV replication in bumble bee 278 larvae, pupae and adults, we were unable to demonstrate a compelling route by which 279 transmission would likely occur in the natural environment. The levels of virus required to orally 280 infect bumble bee larvae are significantly higher than have been reported in environmental 281 pollen samples [53]. Indeed, the levels required are likely higher than larvae are ever exposed 282 to. In contrast, adult bumble bees may experience very high virus levels in collapsing honey bee 283 colonies while robbing. However, adult bumble bees feeding on virus-supplemented syrup 284 remain uninfected and - importantly - are unable to transmit infectious virus to their developing larvae. Further studies will be required to determine whether the gut environment of adult 285 286 bumble bees is sufficiently hostile to DWV that the virus is inactivated e.q by gut proteases, or if 287 there are other factors that restrict infection and replication of DWV in bumble bees.

288 Previous reports strongly underline the haplotype identity of DWV between honey bee and 289 bumble bee populations [4, 24]. Published data show that majority of the virus is located in the 290 gut, which can be interpreted either as a restriction of infection to the digestive tract or as 291 presence of DWV in the ingested material [22]. The results obtained from this study provides a 292 strong indication that oral acquisition of virus from a contaminated environment does not 293 represent an effective DWV transmission route between Apis and Bombus. Bumble bees are 294 known to carry their own mite parasites, with Apicystis bombi and Crithidia bombi found in 295 honey bee collected pollen [54]. However, these mites do not parasitise honey bees and lack 296 feeding behaviour similar to Varroa or the capacity for virus vectoring. Therefore, a route for 297 productive DWV spillover to bumble bees from infected honey bees remains to be determined.

We propose that ubiquitous detection of DWV RNA in geographically related bumble bees primarily reflects the carryover material originating from virus prevalence in the abundant honey bee population, whereas incidence of pathogen spillover is limited to the cases of conditioned infection. Further studies on defining the stressors causing DWV infectivity in bumble bees are required in order to estimate the actual impact of DWV on this important group of pollinators.

303 Materials and Methods

304 Honey bees

All honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) brood were collected from the University of St Andrews research apiary. All hives used for sampling were routinely treated for *Varroa* with an appropriate miticide. Pupae were extracted from the comb and maintained in the incubator set at 34.5°C with 90% relative humidity.

309 Bumble bees

Bumble bees *(Bombus terrestris audax;* Biobest, Belgium) were maintained in the laboratory in isolated nests supplemented with feeders containing 50% aqueous sucrose solution. Nests were regularly fed with bee pollen from a DWV-free region (Saxonbee, Australia). Separately treated adult bumble bees were maintained in cages at RT and fed *ad libidum* on pollen/syrup. Pupae and larvae were extracted from the brood cells and transferred into the incubator set at 30.5° C with 90% relative humidity. Larvae were fed a diet consisting of 25% (v:v) ground pollen and 25% sucrose solution (w:v) in H₂O.

317 RG system and *in vitro* RNA synthesis

All RG constructs in this study were based on a cDNA clone of a recombinant DWV variant VDV- 1_{VVD} (GenBank HM067438.1). The initial pVVD construct was made using a similar protocol described for previously published reverse genetics systems of DWV [37, 39]. Briefly, the full-length cDNA was cloned in a pBR-derived plasmid vector, flanked at the 5' end by a T7

322 promoter and hammerhead ribozyme [55], and at the 3' end by a *Pme* I restriction site following 323 the poly-A tail. VDD (DWV-A) and VVV (DWV-B) constructs were built by substituting parts of 324 pVVD, with the DWV-A capsid-coding and DWV-B non-structural protein-coding cDNA 325 sequences based on published data [27, 40, 41] and produced by custom gene synthesis (IDT, 326 Leuven, Belgium). Unique synonymous restriction sites were introduced into each cDNA 327 sequence. All resulting plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing.

328 RNA was generated *in vitro* from linearised templates, using the T7 RiboMAXTM Express Large 329 Scale RNA Production System (Promega). Full length and truncated templates were linearised 330 with *Pme* I or *Nru* I (nt 9231) respectively. Truncated RNA transcripts were polyadenylated 331 using the poly(A) Tailing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to ensure their stability. After purification, 332 RNA transcripts were eluted in RNAse free H₂O, their integrity confirmed by gel electrophoresis, 333 and stored at -80°C.

334 RNA and virus injections

RNA and virus injections of 5 and 10 µl were performed with insulin syringes (BD Micro Fine Plus, 1 ml, 30 G) into honey bee and bumble bee pupae respectively. Up to 10 µg (equivalent to 1.7×10¹² of DWV RNA copies) of *in vitro* transcribed RNA was injected individually into pupae. Truncated VVD transcript injections were used as a negative control. All RNA-injected pupae were analyzed at 72 h post injection. Injections of pupae or low temperature-immobilized adult bees with virus stocks diluted in PBS were performed using the same technique as for RNA transcripts.

342 Virus stocks

343 DWV stocks were prepared from pupae injected with *in vitro* transcribed RNA. Homogenized
344 tissue was diluted with sterile PBS in 1:1 (w:v) ratio and centrifuged at 13 000 g, 4°C for 10 min.
345 Supernatant was filter sterilized with 0.22 µM filter (PES, Millipore) and treated with RNase A to

destroy all unencapsidated RNA. RNA was extracted from 100 µl of the virus stock using
RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and analyzed by RT-qPCR. Apart from the virus inoculum used for testing
the infectivity of the *Bombus*-derived DWV, all virus stocks were prepared from honey bee
pupae.

350 Oral inoculation and bumble bee nest feeding

Individual bumble bee larvae were placed into 96 well plates containing 2 µl of pollen/syrup
mixed with DWV inoculum. DWV feeding was delivered once on the first day of the experiment.
Fresh diet without virus was added individually after virus-containing food had been consumed.
On the fifth day larvae were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Adult bumble bees were fed with virus in groups of 20 on the first and on the second day of the experiment. Total amount of DWV supplied with each of the two virus feedings was 2.2×10^9 and 2.2×10^8 DWV GE for 10^8 and 10^7 groups respectively. Bumble bees were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and analyzed for the presence of DWV RNA 7 days after DWV feeding.

359 For the colony-scale inoculations three bumble bee nests each containing a mated gueen and 360 120-125 adult bees were used. Prior to the start of the experiment all brood was removed from 361 the nests. Virus feeding was delivered daily by replacing the nest feeder with a tube containing 362 2 ml of sucrose solution supplemented with DWV, previously confirmed to be infectious for 363 bumble bee pupae by direct injection. Each nest received a daily dose of the virus corresponding to 2.4×10¹⁰ DWV GE. The virus-containing solution was fully consumed (<3-4 h) 364 365 before replacement with the regular sucrose feeder. DWV feeding continued for 4-6 weeks and 366 stopped when the first group of larvae developed during the virus-feeding period approached 367 pupation. Upon termination of the experiment all brood (eggs, larvae and pupae) and 10 adult 368 workers were sampled from each nest and tested for the presence of DWV.

369 RNA extraction, reverse transcription and PCR

370 Samples were homogenized with a Precellys Evolution homogenizer (Bertin Instruments, France). Total RNA was extracted using the GeneJet RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific). 371 372 cDNA was prepared with gScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta Biosciences) from 1 µg of total 373 RNA following the manufacturer's protocol. Detection of DWV and actin mRNA, used as an 374 internal RNA guality control, was carried out by end-point PCR with Tag DNA polymerase (New 375 England Biolabs) and 2 µl of cDNA. DWV RTPCR primers were designed to detect all three 376 DWV variants under study (Table S1). To amplify the regions containing restriction site tags in 377 VDD and VVV Kpn2I F/R and PfIFI F/R primer pairs were used respectively. PCR cycling 378 conditions were 30 cycles of 95°C (15 seconds), 55°C (15 seconds), 68°C (2 minutes) with an 379 initial 95°C step (30 seconds) and a final extension at 68°C (5 minutes). PCR samples were 380 analyzed on a 1% agarose gel and when required, PCR products were subjected to restriction 381 digest prior to loading on the gel.

The quantification of DWV genome copies was performed by SYBR-Green Real-Time Quantitative PCR (qPCR) using Luna Universal qPCR master mix (New England Biolabs), 0.25 µM forward and reverse DWV_qPCR primers and 2 µl of cDNA. The following thermal profile was used: 1 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 30 seconds at 60°C with a final post-amplification melting curve analysis step. DWV titres were calculated by comparison of the resulting Ct value to the standard curve generated from a serial dilution of the VVD cDNA qPCR standard prepared by reverse transcribing the RNA transcript.

389 <u>Negative strand assay</u>

390 Strand-specific detection of DWV RNA was performed as described earlier [25]. Briefly, 1 µg of 391 total RNA was used in reverse transcription reaction carried out with Superscript III reverse 392 transcriptase (Invitrogen) and the adapter extended primer designed to anneal to the negative 393 strand RNA of DWV. The PCR step was carried out by *Taq* DNA polymerase (NEB) using

forward primer identical to the adapter sequence (Table S1) and DWV_RTPCR_R primer. PCR
was run for 35 cycles in the same conditions as described above.

396 Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to Robert J Paxton for providing a reference sequence for the construction of DWV-B RG system. This work was supported by grant funding from BBSRC BB/M00337X/2 and BB/I000828/1.

400 **Conflicts of interest**

401 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

402 References

- Gallai N, Salles JM, Settele J, Vaissière BE. Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world
 agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol Econ* 2009; **68**: 810–821.
- 405 2. Potts SG, Imperatriz-Fonseca V, Ngo HT, Aizen MA, Biesmeijer JC, Breeze TD, et al.
- 406 Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature* 2016; **540**: 220–229.
- 407 3. Cavigli I, Daughenbaugh KF, Martin M, Lerch M, Banner K, Garcia E, et al. Pathogen
- 408 prevalence and abundance in honey bee colonies involved in almond pollination.
- 409 *Apidologie* 2016; **47**: 251–266.
- 410 4. Fürst M a., McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF. Disease associations
- 411 between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators. *Nature* 2014; **506**:
- 412 364–366.
- 413 5. Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, Vanengelsdorp D, et al. RNA
- 414 viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: Evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen
- 415 and potential impact on non-Apis hymenopteran species. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**.
- 416 6. Chen YP, Pettis JS, Collins A, Feldlaufer MF. Prevalence and transmission of honeybee
- 417 viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; **72**: 606–611.

- Genersch E, Yue C, Fries I, De Miranda JR. Detection of Deformed wing virus, a honey bee
 viral pathogen, in bumble bees (Bombus terrestris and Bombus pascuorum) with wing
 deformities. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2006; **91**: 61–63.
- 421 8. Loope KJ, Baty JW, Lester PJ, Wilson Rankin EE. Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator
 422 following the arrival of the Varroa mite. *Proc Biol Sci* 2019; **286**: 20182499.
- 423 9. Zhang X, He SY, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Yin GF, Chen YP. New evidence that deformed wing
- 424 virus and black queen cell virus are multi-host pathogens. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*425 . 2012. , **109**: 156–159
- 426 10. Forsgren E, Wei S, Guiling D, Zhiguang L, Van Tran T, Tang PT, et al. Preliminary
- 427 observations on possible pathogen spill-over from Apis mellifera to Apis cerana. *Apidologie*
- 428 . 2015. , **46**: 265–275
- Levitt AL, Singh R, Cox-Foster DL, Rajotte E, Hoover K, Ostiguy N, et al. Cross-species
 transmission of honey bee viruses in associated arthropods. *Virus Res* 2013; **176**: 232–
 240.
- 432 12. Reynaldi FJ, Sguazza GH, Albicoro FJ, Pecoraro MR, Galosi CM. First molecular detection
- 433 of co-infection of honey bee viruses in asymptomatic Bombus atratus in South America.
- 434 *Braz J Biol* 2013; **73**: 797–800.
- 435 13. Gamboa V, Ravoet J, Brunain M, Smagghe G, Meeus I, Figueroa J, et al. Bee pathogens
 436 found in Bombus atratus from Colombia: A case study. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2015; **129**: 36–
 437 39.
- 438 14. Graystock P, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. The effects of single and
 - 439 mixed infections of Apicystis bombi and deformed wing virus in Bombus terrestris.
 - 440 Parasitology . 2016. , **143**: 358–365
 - 441 15. Sachman-Ruiz B, Narváez-Padilla V, Reynaud E. Commercial Bombus impatiens as
 - 442 reservoirs of emerging infectious diseases in central México. *Biological Invasions* . 2015.,
 - **17**: 2043–2053

444	16.	Sébastien A.	Lester PJ. Hall	RJ. Wang J	. Moore NE.	Gruber MAM.	Invasive ants carry
-----	-----	--------------	-----------------	------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------

445 novel viruses in their new range and form reservoirs for a honeybee pathogen. *Biology*

446 *Letters* . 2015. , **11**: 20150610

- 447 17. Guzman-Novoa E, Hamiduzzaman MM, Anguiano-Baez R, Correa-Benítez A, Castañeda-
- 448 Cervantes E, Arnold NI. First detection of honey bee viruses in stingless bees in North
- 449 America. Journal of Apicultural Research . 2015. , 54: 93–95
- 450 18. Ravoet J, De Smet L, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Wenseleers T, de Graaf DC. Widespread
- 451 occurrence of honey bee pathogens in solitary bees. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2014; **122**: 55–58.
- 452 19. Lucia M, Reynaldi FJ, Sguazza GH, Abrahamovich AH. First detection of deformed wing
- 453 virus in Xylocopa augusti larvae (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Argentina. *Journal of Apicultural*
- 454 *Research* . 2014. , **53**: 466–468
- 455 20. Bingham RA, Orthner AR. Efficient pollination of alpine plants. *Nature*. 1998. , **391**: 238–
 456 239
- 457 21. Velthuis HHW, van Doorn A. A century of advances in bumblebee domestication and the
 458 economic and environmental aspects of its commercialization for pollination. *Apidologie*.

459 2006. , **37**: 421–451

- Li J, Peng W, Wu J, Strange JP, Boncristiani H, Chen Y. Cross-Species Infection of
 Deformed Wing Virus Poses a New Threat to Pollinator Conservation. *J Econ Entomol*2011; **104**: 732–739.
- 463 23. Radzevičiūtė R, Theodorou P, Husemann M, Japoshvili G, Kirkitadze G, Zhusupbaeva A, et
- 464 al. Replication of honey bee-associated RNA viruses across multiple bee species in apple
- 465 orchards of Georgia, Germany and Kyrgyzstan. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2017; **146**: 14–23.
- 466 24. Alger SA, Burnham PA, Boncristiani HF, Brody AK. RNA virus spillover from managed
- 467 honeybees (Apis mellifera) to wild bumblebees (Bombus spp.). *PLoS One* 2019; **14**:
- 468 e0217822.
- 469 25. Ryabov EV, Wood GR, Fannon JM, Moore JD, Bull JC, Chandler D, et al. A Virulent Strain

470		of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) of Honeybees (Apis mellifera) Prevails after Varroa
471		destructor-Mediated, or In Vitro, Transmission. PLoS Pathog 2014; 10.
472	26.	Tehel A, Brown MJF, Paxton RJ. Impact of managed honey bee viruses on wild bees. Curr
473		<i>Opin Virol</i> 2016; 19 : 16–22.
474	27.	Lanzi G, Miranda JRD, Boniotti MB, Cameron CE, Lavazza A, Capucci L, et al. Molecular
475		and Biological Characterization of Deformed Wing Virus of Honeybees (Apis mellifera L .).
476		Society 2006; 80 : 4998–5009.
477	28.	Fujiyuki T, Ohka S, Takeuchi H, Ono M, Nomoto A, Kubo T. Prevalence and Phylogeny of
478		Kakugo Virus, a Novel Insect Picorna-Like Virus That Infects the Honeybee (Apis mellifera
479		L.), under Various Colony Conditions. J Virol 2006; 80: 11528–11538.
480	29.	Martin SJ, Highfield AC, Brettell L, Villalobos EM, Budge GE, Powell M, et al. Global Honey
481		Bee Viral Landscape Altered by a Parasitic Mite. Science 2012; 336: 1304–1306.
482	30.	Mordecai GJ, Wilfert L, Martin SJ, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. Diversity in a honey bee
483		pathogen: first report of a third master variant of the Deformed Wing Virus quasispecies.
484		<i>ISME J</i> 2015; 10 : 1–10.
485	31.	Ongus JR, Peters D, Bonmatin JM, Bengsch E, Vlak JM, van Oers MM. Complete
486		sequence of a picorna-like virus of the genus Iflavirus replicating in the mite Varroa
487		destructor. J Gen Virol 2004; 85: 3747–3755.
488	32.	Moore J, Jironkin A, Chandler D, Burroughs N, Evans DJ, Ryabov EV. Recombinants
489		between Deformed wing virus and Varroa destructor virus-1 may prevail in Varroa
490		destructor-infested honeybee colonies. J Gen Virol 2011; 92: 156–161.
491	33.	Zioni N, Soroker V, Chejanovsky N. Replication of varroa destructor virus 1 (VDV-1) and a
492		varroa destructor virus 1-deformed wing virus recombinant (VDV-1-DWV) in the head of the
493		honey bee. Virology 2011; 417: 106–112.

494 34. Ryabov EV, Childers AK, Chen Y, Madella S, Nessa A, Vanengelsdorp D, et al. Recent

495 spread of Varroa destructor virus - 1, a honey bee pathogen, in the United States. *Sci Rep*

- 496 2017; 1–10.
- 497 35. Dalmon A, Desbiez C, Coulon M, Thomasson M, Le Conte Y, Alaux C, et al. Evidence for
- 498 positive selection and recombination hotspots in Deformed wing virus (DWV). *Nature*

499 *Publishing Group* 2017; 1–12.

- 500 36. Baker AC, Schroeder DC. The use of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase for the taxonomic
- 501 assignment of Picorna-like viruses (order Picornavirales) infecting Apis mellifera L.
- 502 populations. *Virol J* 2008; **5**: 10.
- 37. Lamp B, Url A, Seitz K, Rgen Eichhorn J, Riedel C, Sinn LJ, et al. Construction and Rescue
- 504 of a Molecular Clone of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV). 2016; 1–18.
- 505 38. Posada-Florez F, Childers AK, Heerman MC, Egekwu NI, Cook SC, Chen Y, et al.
- 506 Deformed wing virus type A, a major honey bee pathogen, is vectored by the mite Varroa 507 destructor in a non-propagative manner. *Sci Rep* 2019; **9**: 12445.
- 508 39. Ryabov EV, Childers AK, Lopez D, Grubbs K, Posada-Florez F, Weaver D, et al. Dynamic
- 509 evolution in the key honey bee pathogen deformed wing virus: Novel insights into virulence

and competition using reverse genetics. *PLoS Biol* 2019; **17**: e3000502.

- 511 40. McMahon DP, Natsopoulou ME, Doublet V, Fürst M, Weging S, Brown MJF, et al. Elevated
- 512 virulence of an emerging viral genotype as a driver of honeybee loss. *Proc Biol Sci* 2016;
- **283**: 443–449.
- 41. Mordecai GJ, Brettell LE, Martin SJ, Dixon D, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. Superinfection
- 515 exclusion and the long-term survival of honey bees in Varroa-infested colonies. *ISME J*516 2015; **10**: 1–10.
- 517 42. Ongus JR, Roode EC, Pleij CWA, Vlak JM, van Oers MM. The 5??? non-translated region
 518 of Varroa destructor virus 1 (genus Iflavirus): Structure prediction and IRES activity in
- 519 Lymantria dispar cells. *J Gen Virol* 2006; **87**: 3397–3407.
- 43. Tehel A, Vu Q, Bigot D, Gogol-Döring A, Koch P, Jenkins C, et al. The Two Prevalent
- 521 Genotypes of an Emerging Infectious Disease, , Cause Equally Low Pupal Mortality and

522 Equally High Wing Deformities in Host Honey Bees. *Viruses* 2019; **11**.

- 523 44. Becker DJ, Washburne AD, Faust CL, Pulliam JRC, Mordecai EA, Lloyd-Smith JO, et al.
- 524 Dynamic and integrative approaches to understanding pathogen spillover. *Philos Trans R*

525 Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2019; **374**: 20190014.

- 45. Plowright RK, Parrish CR, McCallum H, Hudson PJ, Ko AI, Graham AL, et al. Pathways to
 zoonotic spillover. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017; **15**: 502–510.
- 528 46. Möckel N, Gisder S, Genersch E. Horizontal transmission of deformed wing virus:

529 Pathological consequences in adult bees (Apis mellifera) depend on the transmission route.

- 530 *J Gen Virol* 2011; **92**: 370–377.
- 47. Dainat B, Evans JD, Chen YP, Gauthier L, Neumanna P. Dead or alive: Deformed wing
- virus and varroa destructor reduce the life span of winter honeybees. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; **78**: 981–987.
- 48. Goulson D, Nicholls E, Botías C, Rotheray EL. Bee declines driven by combined stress
 from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science* 2015; **347**: 1255957.

49. Roberts JMK, Anderson DL, Durr PA. Absence of deformed wing virus and Varroa

- destructor in Australia provides unique perspectives on honeybee viral landscapes and
 colony losses. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 6925.
- 539 50. Belsky J, Joshi NK. Impact of Biotic and Abiotic Stressors on Managed and Feral Bees.
 540 *Insects* 2019; **10**.
- 541 51. Di Prisco G, Cavaliere V, Annoscia D, Varricchio P, Caprio E, Nazzi F, et al. Neonicotinoid 542 clothianidin adversely affects insect immunity and promotes replication of a viral pathogen
- 543 in honey bees. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2013; **110**: 18466–

544 18471.

545 52. Manley R, Boots M, Wilfert L. Condition-dependent virulence of slow bee paralysis virus in

546 Bombus terrestris: are the impacts of honeybee viruses in wild pollinators underestimated?

547 Oecologia 2017; **184**: 305–315.

|--|

- associated to flower pollen: Experimental evidence of a horizontal transmission route. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**.
- 551 54. Graystock P, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. The relationship between managed bees and the 552 prevalence of parasites in bumblebees. *PeerJ* 2014; **2**: e522.
- 553 55. Herold J, Andino R. Poliovirus requires a precise 5' end for efficient positive-strand RNA
 554 synthesis. *J Virol* 2000; **74**: 6394–6400.
- 555 56. Zagordi O, Bhattacharya A, Eriksson N, Beerenwinkel N. ShoRAH: estimating the genetic
- diversity of a mixed sample from next-generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*2011; **12**: 119.
- 57. McElroy K, Zagordi O, Bull R, Luciani F, Beerenwinkel N. Accurate single nucleotide variant
 detection in viral populations by combining probabilistic clustering with a statistical test of
 strand bias. *BMC Genomics* 2013; **14**: 501.

561 Figure legends

562 Figure 1. Reverse genetics (RG) system for three DWV variants. A. Diagram showing 563 identical regions between genomic RNA sequences of master DWV variants (DWV-A -564 GenBank AJ489744 and DWV-B - GenBank AY251269.2) and three RG clones (VVV, VDD 565 and VVD) derived from the cloned cDNA of the recombinant DWV - VVD (GenBank 566 HM067438.1); identical sequence regions are shown in black, restriction sites tags introduced 567 into cDNA of each variant are indicated in italics, DWV genomic RNA organization is presented 568 below to help interpretation. B. Detection of RG DWV RNA by RT-PCR in honey bee pupae 569 injected with in vitro synthesized RNA transcripts: "VDD", "VVV", and "VVD" - PCR products 570 from pupae injected with corresponding full-length RNA, "VVDtr" - PCR from pupae, injected 571 with truncated VVD RNA, "+" and "-" - PCR controls, "M" - molecular weight DNA marker; 572 restriction digest - verification of the RG origin of detected DWV by the digest of the PCR 573 products at artificially introduced restriction sites. **C.** Detection of RG DWV in bumble bee 574 pupae injected with VVD RNA and with virus stock obtained from RNA-injected pupae -575 "VVDvir"; "VVD RNAtr" - RT-PCR from pupae injected with truncated RNA, "Mock" - PBS-576 injected pupae, "+" - positive PCR control for DWV, "M" - molecular weight DNA marker; RG 577 origin of the PCR products for all DWV-positive samples was confirmed by digest with *HpaI* 578 restriction enzyme, amplification of the actin mRNA product was used as an indicator of RNA 579 integrity and loading control.

580 Figure 2. Inoculation of bumble bee pupae and larvae with RG-DWV. A. RT-gPCR analysis 581 of DWV accumulation in bumble bee pupae injected with VVV, VDD and VVD DWV. Pupae 582 were injected at white-eyed - "we" - and purple-eyed - "pe" - stages and analyzed 48 h post 583 injection. Each value corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, black lines show mean 584 \pm SD. **B.** Detection of DWV RNA in bumble bee larvae from two different age groups fed with 10⁸ 585 GE VVD DWV: gPCR analysis of DWV levels in individual larvae samples, black-circled values 586 correspond to samples which produced a positive result in (-)RNA assay; each value 587 corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, error bars show mean ±SD. DWV (-)RNA assay -588 strand-specific RT-PCR products run in 1% agarose gel, "+" - positive PCR control for DWV, 589 "M" - molecular weight DNA marker, V - PCR from the DWV inoculum used for larvae feeding.

590 Figure 3. Detection of DWV in adult bumble bees. A. Detection of DWV RNA by RT-PCR in 591 adult bumble bees after inoculation with VVD DWV via feeding or injections (10 samples from 592 each group shown): "Mock" - non-treated bumble bees from the same colony, "PBS" - PBSinjected group (no virus), " 10^7 and 10^8 fed" - bumble bees fed with sucrose solution containing 593 594 10^7 or 10^8 DWV GE of DWV per bee, " 10^4 and 10^8 injected" - bumble bees injected with 10^4 or 595 10⁸ DWV GE of DWV respectively. **B.** Detection of the DWV (-)RNA strand in adult bumble bees 596 injected with 10⁸ DWV GE. "+" and "-" - positive and negative PCR controls, "M" - molecular 597 weight DNA marker. Detection of the bumble bee actin RNA was used to assay the quality of

extracted RNA. RG origin of the PCR products for all DWV-positive samples was confirmed byrestriction enzyme digest.

Figure 4. Morbidity of DWV in bumble bees. A. RT-qPCR analysis of DWV level in developed bumble bees injected with 10^6 GE of DWV at white-eyed pupa stage. Individual values for each sample are shown with dots, lines represent mean ±SD. **B.** Percentage of visually normal ("*norm*"), discolored ("*disc*") and non-viable ("*nv*") bumble bees developed from pupae in VVDinjected (n=43) and PBS-injected ("Mock", n=37). **C.** Phenotype of bumble bees developed from pupae in the incubator.

Figure S1. Infectivity of *Bombus* and *Apis*-derived DWV. qPCR analysis of DWV accumulation in bumble bee (BP) and honey bee (HP) pupae injected with VVD DWV inoculum prepared from pupae transfected with *in vitro* synthesized RNA. "*Apis*" and "*Bombus*" DWV stocks were prepared from honey bee and bumble bee pupae tissues respectively. Each value corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, error bars show mean ±SD. After injection bees were incubated for 48 and 24 h for bumble bee and honey bee pupae respectively before analysis.

613 Figure S2. Phylogenetic analysis of injected virus variation in first generation honey bees 614 and second generation bumble bees. DWV isolated from the injected bees was sequenced 615 using Illumina and subsequently analysed by Shorah [56, 57] to identify any adaptive sequence 616 changes which may have been undertaken in the bumble bee samples. The phylogenetic tree 617 shows the top matches all align identically to the original injected variant (VVD9 RG construct), 618 indicating no adaptive change has taken place in the new host. Reference sequences for 'Type 619 A' (AY292384.1) and 'Type B' (CVUB01000001.1) included. HAPX indicates the variant found in 620 each Illumina sample and the final number indicates the proportion of the virus population each 621 sample comprises (1.00 = 100%).

Figure S3. Detection of the DWV (-)RNA strand in bumble bee and honey bee pupae. RT-PCR assay for (-)RNA of DWV in pupae injected with full-length ("full") and truncated ("tr.") VVD RNA, VVD virus inoculum ("VVDvir") or PBS (negative control). RNA-injected pupae were analyzed 3 days post-injection. Virus-injected pupae were analyzed 48 and 24 h post-injection for bumble bees and honey bees respectively. "+" and "-" - positive and negative PCR controls, "M" - molecular weight DNA marker. RG origin of the PCR products for all DWV-positive samples was confirmed by restriction enzyme digest.

Figure S4. Development of VVD DWV injected honey bee pupae. Appearance of deformities in virus-injected pupae upon eclosion (shown on the right) and qPCR analysis of DWV RNA accumulation in honey bees with deformed wings developed from honey bee pupae injected with 10^4 GE of DWV at white-eyed pupa stage. Each value corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, black lines show mean ±SD.

Α.





В.



Restriction digest



C.



Restriction digest







DWV (-)RNA assay:

М	group 2	group 1	V	+
		and the second second		





+ -

M 10⁴ injected 10⁸ injected



